

## THE INTEGERS

Work with a group of 2 or 3 students discussing the following problems. (Some of these problems appear on the homework this week.)

### Some problems from today

We are going to learn a few abstract ideas today. **Our goal** is to learn how to deal with these abstract settings and gain some confidence. We will be dealing with a  $S$  and an operation we call  $+$ . This means that given two elements  $s, t \in S$  we can assign to them a new element, called  $s + t \in S$ .

- (1) I'll give you some examples of sets  $S$  along with some operations. Talk about these. Remember we are giving a "new" definition of  $+$  in each case:
  - (a)  $S = \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n + m :=$  usual sum.
  - (b)  $S = \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n + m := n^m$
  - (c)  $S = \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $n + m := 0$  always
  - (d)  $S = \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $n + m := n - m$
  - (e)  $S = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12\}$ ,  $n + m := n + m$  but added like on a clock. E.g.  $4 + 9 = 1$ .
  - (f)  $S = \{people\}$ ,  $x + y := x$
  - (g)  $S = \{people\}$ ,  $x + y := \begin{cases} \text{the oldest child of } x \text{ and } y & \text{if } x \text{ and } y \text{ have a child} \\ \text{Abraham Lincoln} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

We say that the operation  $+$  is associative if for all  $s, t, u \in S$

$$s + (t + u) = (s + t) + u.$$

We say that the operation  $+$  is commutative if for all  $s, t \in S$

$$s + t = t + s.$$

- (2) Which of the sets and operations above are associative? Commutative?
- (3) Let  $S$  and  $+$  be a set with an addition rule that is associative and commutative. Can you define what you think it means to be an **additive identity**? Think carefully about this and discuss with your group. Your definition should start " $z \in S$  is called an additive identity if ..."
- (4) Which of the examples above have an additive identity? If they have one - how many do they have?
- (5) Prove that if a set has an additive identity then there is only one.
- (6) If  $S$  has an additive identity  $z$ , and  $s \in S$  is an element then it's possible that  $s$  could have an **additive inverse**, which we denote  $-s$ . How would you define  $-s$ ?
- (7) Show that if an element  $s \in S$  has an additive inverse then it is unique.
- (8) Show that the additive inverse of  $-s$  is  $s$ .

### Looking Forward

- Before Wednesday's class, please (re)read Aaron Bertram's notes on the rational numbers- Section 1.2.

**Warmup** As a warmup, let's prove that there are infinitely many prime numbers! Think about the following proof.

Proof:

**Assume** that there are finitely many prime numbers  $\{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$ . In other words imagine that that is all the prime numbers. We want to now get a **contradiction**. If we are successful, then that will mean our assumption had to be incorrect. This is how proof by contradiction works.

Ok, let's do it! Consider the number

$$N = p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdots p_k + 1.$$

In other words,  $N$  is the product of all the primes plus 1.

- Talk about why  $N$  is not divisible by  $p_1$
  - Talk about why  $N$  is not divisible by any  $p_i$
  - Talk about why this means  $N$  is not divisible by any prime.
  - Talk about why this is a contradiction!
- 

### Some Critical Thinking

- Does this mean that  $N$  has to be prime? Test this: Try  $(2) + 1$  and then  $(2)(3) + 1$  and then  $(2)(3)(5) + 1$  etc. Are they all prime?
- What if we modified the above proof and had  $N = p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdots p_k + 2$ . How would that change your answers above?
- What if we forced you to use  $N = blah + 2$  in your proof, how could you fix your proof to still get a contradiction?