

Reviewer Name: _____

Presenter: _____

Critique of Individual Presentation (Anonymous) [Numerical ratings: 4 high, 0 low]						
Interest	Was an interesting paper selected? Did the presentation help to make the paper interesting?	4	3	2	1	0
Clarity	Were the experiments adequately introduced? Could you follow the logic of the idea and the experiments presented?	4	3	2	1	0
Knowledge & Understanding	Did the presenter show mastery of material? Did they appear to have done enough background research? Was the presenter or presenters able to answer questions?	4	3	2	1	0
Organization & Presentation	Did the topic flow well? Was it well-rehearsed? Was there a clear introduction and conclusion?	4	3	2	1	0
Presentation Aids	Quality of (powerpoint) presentation slides? Added or detracted from the presentation? Were they creative, clear, interesting, easy to follow?	4	3	2	1	0
Response to questions	Please evaluate the presenter's response to questions (especially yours).	4	3	2	1	0
'Read More About It' web page	Did it help you understand the paper? Were the links provided useful? Please add any additional comments	4	3	2	1	0

Reviewer Name: _____ Presenter: _____

Overall Rating	Overall score to the quality of presentation. 4=Excellent, 3=Good, 2=Average, 1=Poor, 0=Seriously deficient / Failed to meet expectations.	4 3 2 1 0
-----------------------	--	-------------------------------

Please use the space below for any final or summary comments.