Filament Rigidity Vies with Mesh Size in Determining Anomalous Diffusion in Cytoskeleton
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ABSTRACT: The diffusion of microscopic particles through the cell, important to processes such as viral infection, gene delivery, and vesicle transport, is largely controlled by the complex cytoskeletal network, comprised of semiflexible actin filaments and rigid microtubules, that pervades the cytoplasm. By varying the relative concentrations of actin and microtubules, the cytoskeleton can display a host of different structural and dynamic properties that, in turn, impact the diffusion of particles through the composite network. Here, we couple single-particle tracking with differential dynamic microscopy to characterize the transport of microsphere tracers diffusing through composite in vitro networks with varying ratios of actin and microtubules. We analyze multiple complementary metrics for anomalous transport to show that particles exhibit anomalous subdiffusion in all networks, which our data suggest arises from caging by networks. Further, subdiffusive characteristics are markedly more pronounced in actin-rich networks, which exhibit similarly more prominent viscoelastic properties compared to microtubule-rich composites. While the smaller mesh size of actin-rich composites compared to microtubule-rich composites plays an important role in these results, the rigidity of the filaments comprising the network also influences the anomalous characteristics that we observe. Our results suggest that as microtubules in our composites are replaced with actin filaments, the decreasing filament rigidity competes with increasing network connectivity to drive anomalous transport.

INTRODUCTION

The cytoskeleton is a key player in numerous cellular functions from proliferation to mobility. Because the cytoskeleton is a dense network of protein filaments, it also crowds and hinders the diffusion of particles and macromolecules, thereby contributing to a host of additional processes such as gene delivery, vesicle transport, and viral infection.1−5 To better understand these processes and to advance biomedical technologies, we must elucidate the precise mechanisms by which cytoskeletal crowding affects particle transport.6−10 Understanding transport through polymer composites is also critical to diverse industrial applications such as molecular sieving, wetting, and nanoelectronics.11−14

While cytoskeletal crowding is critical to cellular function, in vitro studies investigating the impact of cellular crowding on diffusion have largely used small synthetic crowders or globular proteins to mimic the cellular environment.15−20 In attempts to more closely match the cytoskeleton environment, crowding by networks of actin filaments has also been studied, though to a lesser extent.21−25 While these studies have advanced the understanding of transport in crowded environments, they fail to capture the complex structure and interfilament interactions that exist in the cytoskeleton,26 which consists of multiple types of protein filaments including actin filaments and microtubules. Conversely, complementary in vivo studies27−32 which maintain the complexity of the cellular environment, are unable to accurately characterize and tune the relative concentrations of the different components, a necessity when teasing apart the roles that each filament plays in crowded transport.

One of the most intriguing consequences of crowding that these previous studies have revealed is the emergence of anomalous diffusion, in which the center-of-mass mean-squared displacement (MSD) of diffusing particles does not scale linearly with time.21,22,33−35 Specifically, MSD = 2K(Δt)α where α < 1 for subdiffusion and α > 1 for superdiffusion, and the transport coefficient K reduces to the diffusion coefficient D when α = 1 (normal diffusion). Subdiffusion of particles has been reported for in vitro systems of dextran,27 actin,28 and microtubules36 as well as in vivo studies of intracellular proteins.27,28 Several physical mechanisms have been suggested to account for subdiffusion including excluded volume, heterogeneous transport, viscoelasticity, and caging.27,32,37−39 Viscoelasticity and caging have also
been shown to result in non-Gaussian particle displacements with hopping between cages manifesting as large tails in displacement distributions. These mechanisms also typically result in nonergodic transport in which the ensemble-averaged MSD is distinct from the time-averaged MSD. However, linking anomalous transport properties to underlying mechanisms has proven difficult. While certain mechanisms lead to transient subdiffusion over a limited timescale, others result in long-lived hampered transport. These differences are often undetectable over the spatiotemporal range of most tracking or scattering techniques. Further, the heterogeneous nature of some anomalous transport modes has made it difficult to compare single-particle tracking results to standard models and predictions.

To address these outstanding problems, we use a model cytoskeleton system comprised of co-entangled actin and microtubules to elucidate the effects of cytoskeletal crowding on microparticle transport. We couple single-particle tracking (SPT) with differential dynamic microscopy (DDM) to map the transport properties from the level of single tracer particles to the ensemble scale over a large spatiotemporal range (Figure 1).

Prior work has found that the degree of anomalous subdiffusion of tracer particles within networks strongly depends on the network mesh size relative to the particle size. Other studies have linked increasingly anomalous subdiffusion with increasingly stiff filaments comprising the network. We directly test the applicability of these findings to the composite cytoskeleton by creating actin–microtubule networks in which we fix total protein concentration but vary the ratio of rigid microtubules to semiflexible actin filaments. As the fraction of actin in the composite increases, the mesh size decreases, while at the same time, the network becomes increasingly comprised of more flexible filaments. We, therefore, expect that the diffusive behavior of tracer particles will be pulled in opposite directions as actin fraction increases: more anomalous due to decreasing mesh size and less anomalous due to decreasing filament stiffness. Our experimental methods yield multiple indicators of anomalous diffusion including the subdiffusive scaling exponent \( \alpha \) and network viscoelasticity as well as deviations from Gaussianity and ergodicity. Interestingly, most but not all indicators show that mesh size holds greater influence than polymer stiffness over the subdiffusive behavior.

### METHODS

#### Sample Preparation. Proteins. Rabbit skeleton actin monomers and porcine brain tubulin dimers are purchased from Cytoskeleton (AKL99, T240; St. Denver, CO) and suspended in PEM-100 [100 mM piperazine-N,N’-bis(ethanesulfonic) acid (pH 6.8), 2 mM MgCl\(_2\), 2 mM EGTA]. Resuspended actin and tubulin solutions are flash-frozen with liquid nitrogen and stored at \(-80^\circ\)C at concentrations of 1 and 5 mg/mL, respectively.

**Tracers.** The tracers are carboxylated fluorescent YG microspheres (diameter = 1.019 ± 0.032 μm) purchased from Polysciences Inc.
Experimental Samples. Composite networks of actin and microtubules are prepared using previously optimized and validated protocols. Briefly, we suspend actin monomers and tubulin dimers in an aqueous buffer composed of PEM-100, 2 mM ATP, 1 mM GTP, 5 μM Taxol, and 0.05% Tween to a final protein concentration of C = C_A + C_T = 11.4 μM where C_A and C_T are the molar concentrations of actin monomers and tubulin dimers. The prepared tracer particles are then mixed into the solution. For single-particle tracking experiments, a trace amount of particles is added, whereas for DDM, a ∼300X higher particle concentration is used. Final solutions are pipetted into a sample chamber consisting of either a glass slide and coverslip separated by ~100 μm with double-sided tape (SPT) or capillary tubing that is index-matched to water (DDM). Chambers are sealed with epoxy and incubated at 37 °C for 60 min to form an entangled network of actin filaments and microtubules. We investigate composites with five different relative concentrations of actin to tubulin, which we quantify by the molar fraction of actin in the composite φ_A = C_A/(C_A + C_T). We keep the total molar concentration fixed at 11.4 μM. However, because of the different molecular weights of actin monomers (42 kDa) and tubulin dimers (110 kDa), as we vary φ_A, the mass concentration varies from 0.5 mg/mL for φ_A = 0—1.2 mg/mL for φ_A = 1. We compute the mesh size ξ of the composites via ξ = (0.3C_A−1/3 + 0.89C_T−1/3)−1 where C_A and C_T are the mass concentrations of actin and tubulin in units of mg/mL, respectively. The mesh sizes for composites with φ_A = 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, and 1 are 0.79, 0.70, 0.57, 0.49, and 0.42 μm, respectively. The length distributions for actin and microtubules in all composites are similar with measured values of 8.7 ± 2.8 and 17.8 ± 9.7 μm, respectively.

Imaging. For the single-particle tracking experiments, we image the particles using an Olympus IX73 inverted fluorescence microscope with a 20× 0.4 NA objective and a Hamamatsu ORCA-Flash 2.8 CMOS camera (180 nm/pixel). For each composite, 50 videos are recorded at 45 fps for 400 frames. Each video has >40 trackable particles for a total of >2000 particles tracked in two samples for each condition. We use custom-written particle-tracking scripts (MATLAB) to track the tracer trajectories and measure the x- and y-displacements of the tracers between each frame. Using these displacements, we compute mean-squared displacements in the x- and y-directions. The average of ∆x^2 and ∆y^2 (MSD), shown in Figure 1, is used to determine both the transport coefficient K as well as the degree of anomalous diffusion. Specifically, MSDs are fit to a power-law function MSD = 2K(Δt)^α where α is the subdiffusive scaling exponent (Figure S1). For a system exhibiting normal Brownian diffusion, the transport coefficient (K) is equal to the diffusion coefficient (D), and α = 1. While we acquire particle trajectories over the time interval [0.02–8.8 s], we only use the interval [0.15–8 s] (corresponding to [0.79–42 rad/s]) in our analysis. For shorter times, the frame-to-frame bead displacements are not significantly larger than our centroid localization precision of ~90 nm (0.5 px). At 0.15 s, the MSDs are all on the order of a squared pixel (180 nm)^2 or more (Figure 2A), so are no longer below our tracking imprecision. We also removed the last 0.8 s of data as the statistics begin to drop and the data is biased by larger particles. Error analysis is performed by analyzing random subsets of 5 videos and calculating the standard error in K and α from all 10 subsets. The MSDs calculated for all subsets for each condition are shown in Figure S2.

We also evaluate probability distributions of the measured displacements (Δx, Δy) for various lag times (Δt) and fit to single and double Gaussians to determine deviations from normal diffusion (Figures 4 and S3). Finally, we compute the non-Gaussianity parameter β_NG = (σ^2(Δt))/σ^2(Δt) as defined from normal diffusion (Figures 4 and S4) and the ergodicity breaking parameter EB = (σ^2(Δt)/σ^2(Δt)) as the degree of anomalous subdiffusion across a wide spatiotemporal range. (A) Mean-squared displacements (MSD) divided by lag time Δt, as determined from particle tracking. Slopes report scaling exponents of α − 1, such that a particle undergoing normal diffusion exhibits a slope of 0, whereas subdiffusion results in negative slopes. As shown, all composites exhibit φ_A-dependent subdiffusion (increasingly negative slopes), with the actin network causing the most pronounced subdiffusion with α = 0.6. (B) The decay time, τ, of density fluctuations with wave vector q is determined from DDM. Plots of 1/(q^2τ) versus q would yield a slope of 0 for normal diffusion. The upward slopes we observe indicate subdiffusion for all composites with the actin network exhibiting the greatest degree of subdiffusion (α = 0.57).

Figure 2. Presence of actin in composites hinders transport and leads to more pronounced anomalous subdiffusion across a wide spatiotemporal range. (A) Mean-squared displacements (MSD) divided by lag time Δt, as determined from particle tracking. Slopes report scaling exponents of α − 1, such that a particle undergoing normal diffusion exhibits a slope of 0, whereas subdiffusion results in negative slopes. As shown, all composites exhibit φ_A-dependent subdiffusion (increasingly negative slopes), with the actin network causing the most pronounced subdiffusion with α = 0.6. (B) The decay time, τ, of density fluctuations with wave vector q is determined from DDM. Plots of 1/(q^2τ) versus q would yield a slope of 0 for normal diffusion. The upward slopes we observe indicate subdiffusion for all composites with the actin network exhibiting the greatest degree of subdiffusion (α = 0.57).
where $1$ and $N$ in the equation represent the first and last points from the oversampled MSD data. Oversampling is done using the PCHIP MATLAB function. More details about the data analysis can be found in ref 48.

For DDM experiments, we image samples in a capillary tube using a customized light-sheet microscope, which uses a 488 nm excitation laser, a 10x 0.25 NA objective, and an Andor Zyla 4.2 sCMOS camera, 4 videos of 5000 frames were recorded for each sample at rates ranging from 4 to 40 fps. The video dimensions are 256 × 768 pixels, and the videos are analyzed by examining regions of interest of 256 × 256 pixels (50 μm × 50 μm). We follow the methods, described below, used in other applications of DDM to study diffusion in cytoskeletal networks.2,50

From each 256 × 256 pixel region of interest, we obtain the image structure function $D(q, Δt)$, where $q$ is the magnitude of the wave vector and $Δt$ is the lag time. To extract the dynamics of the tracer particles, for each wave vector, we fit this image structure function to

$$D(q, Δt) = A(q)[1 − f(q, Δt)] + B(q)$$

where $A$ depends on the optical properties of the sample and microscope, $B$ is a measure of the camera noise, and $f(q, Δt)$ is the intermediate scattering function (ISF), which we fit to a stretched exponential of the form $f(q, Δt) = \exp(- (τq/Δt)^{α})$ with $τ$ being the characteristic decay time for fluctuations that span a spatial scale of $2πq$ and $q$ being the stretching exponent. Examples of how our data fits to this equation are shown in Figure S5, and example ISFs are shown in Figure S6. We find that our data for composites with $φ_A \geq 0.75$ are less well fit to this model as seen in Figures S5 and S6 since these ISFs are slower to decay than networks with $φ_A < 0.75$. Therefore, the values of $K_0$ and $τ$ that we obtain from DDM for composites with $φ_A \geq 0.75$ should be viewed with caution. Additionally, the range of wave vectors over which reliable fits can be obtained is narrower in the networks of greater actin fraction. To determine whether or not we can reliably fit our data at a given wave vector, we employ the criterion used in ref 51 and discussed in more detail in Figure S7.

Our use of a stretched exponential for the ISF was inspired by prior studies of transport within crowded or complex environments probed with DDM.2,55 For normal diffusion, one would expect to find $τ = 1.0$ (i.e., a simple exponential for the ISF). However, our data is best fit with a stretching exponent between 0.4 and 0.7. The average stretching exponent varies with the actin fraction of the network, as shown in Figures S8 and S9.

With the extracted decay times of density fluctuations across a range of spatial frequencies, we fit our results to $τ = (K_0q^2)^{-1/α}$. This allows us to find the transport coefficient, $K_0$, and the subdiffusive scaling exponent, $α$.

### RESULTS

We use previously optimized protocols5 to create model co-entangled actin–microtubule composites with varying relative concentrations of actin and microtubules, quantified by the molar actin fraction $φ_A = c_A/(c_A + c_T)$. We use both SPT and DDM to characterize the transport of microspheres diffusing through the composites (Figure 1). These complementary approaches cover a wide range of spatial and temporal scales, important to elucidate anomalous diffusive characteristics, and affirm that measured transport dynamics are not biased by one chosen technique.

With SPT, we track the motion of individual particles to determine mean-squared displacements (MSD) versus lag time, $Δt$, while with DDM, we analyze the decay time, $τ$, of density fluctuations of an ensemble of particles across a range of spatial frequencies. As shown in Figure 2A, both techniques yield similar trends with network composition, which indicates that these trends are not limited to narrow spatial or temporal scales and not artifacts of one particular technique. In Figure 2A, we plot MSD/Δt versus $Δt$ from SPT analysis. As a reference, for normal Brownian motion, MSD/Δt is constant with lag time (i.e., $MSD/Δt = Δq^2$) and proportional to the diffusion coefficient. Conversely, we see that all MSD/Δt curves exhibit power-law decays across the entire range of lag times, indicating subdiffusive transport. The degree to which diffusion is anomalous, shown as the steepness of the power-law decay, increases with increasing $φ_A$. The magnitudes of the MSDs generally decrease as well, similar to previous particle-tracking experiments, which found that SPT MSDs were lower in actin networks than in microtubule networks of the same molarity.24 Our DDM measurements, displayed in Figure 2B, show similar trends with $φ_A$. Specifically, we plot $1/(q^2τ)$ versus $q$, which, for normal Brownian motion, is constant and proportional to the diffusion coefficient. The power-law rise in $1/(q^2τ)$ with $q$, which we observe for each composite, indicates anomalous subdiffusion. As with the SPT data in Figure 2A, from Figure 2B, one observes that with increasing $φ_A$, transport slows and becomes more anomalous.

This trend of increasingly slow and anomalous diffusion with greater actin fraction is clearly seen in Figure 3 where we plot the transport coefficient, $K_0$, and the anomalous scaling exponent, $α$, determined from both SPT and DDM, as a function of $φ_A$ (shown on a logarithmic scale in Figure S11). The magnitudes of $α$ for the 100% actin network ($φ_A = 1$) are comparable to previously reported values from particle-tracking experiments on actin networks.21,23 In these studies, subdiffusion was attributed to the caging of the particles within the actin mesh.

We further analyze our SPT data to extract several metrics beyond just the scaling exponent $α$ that indicate anomalous diffusion and shed light on the underlying mechanisms. Specifically, we determine the probability distribution functions (PDFs) of particle displacements, the non-Gaussianity parameter ($β_{NG}$), the ergodicity breaking parameter (EB), and the viscoelastic moduli ($G'(ω)$, $G''(ω)$, $η^*(ω)$) of our networks.

We first evaluate the PDFs of particle displacements over given lag times. For normal Brownian motion, one expects such PDFs to be Gaussian with a width proportional to $(Δt)^{1/2}$. However, diffusion within crowded or viscoelastic environments is often non-Gaussian.54–58 As shown in Figure 4, our measured probability distributions of displacements in the various networks for $Δt = 0.22$ and 0.44 s are clearly non-Gaussian. The observed probability of large displacements (the tails of the distribution) is greater than a single Gaussian fit predicts, and the peak of the PDF, corresponding to small displacements, is similarly above the Gaussian fit. The PDFs are instead a better fit by a sum of two Gaussians (Figure S3), each with widths that increase with lag time. The PDFs for each of our samples are not as divergent from a Gaussian, as others have reported. For example, we do not observe a Laplace distribution as seen in other biomaterials or in cells.59 However, the non-Gaussian behavior can help elucidate the physical mechanism of our observed anomalous diffusion.
suggests that these parameters may be sensitive to properties of
the network beyond the mesh size, such as the filament stiffness, that may contribute to anomalous transport. Therefore, we next examine the viscoelasticity of our composites. Subdiffusion and non-Gaussianity are known to occur in viscoelastic environments, and we can use our SPT data to extract the storage modulus \( G'(\omega) \), loss modulus \( G''(\omega) \), and complex viscosity \( \eta^*(\omega) \). As shown in Figure 5, \( G' \) increases with increasing actin fraction over the entire frequency range and exhibits an increasingly pronounced frequency-independent plateau, both signatures of elasticity. The low-frequency complex viscosity, from which we can estimate the zero-shear viscosity \( \eta_0 \), likewise increases as \( \phi_{A} \) increases, while at the same time, \( \eta^*(\omega) \) curves exhibit more viscoelastic shear thinning. This general trend of increasing viscoelasticity with \( \phi_{A} \) is similar to studies reported in cells, which show that actin filaments are the primary contributors to the viscoelasticity that cells exhibit.\(^{30-32}\) However, Figure 5 shows that these signatures of viscoelasticity do not increase smoothly with \( \phi_{A} \). To quantify the dependence of viscoelasticity on \( \phi_{A} \), we plot \( \eta_0 \) and the frequency-averaged storage modulus \( <G'> \) for each network (Figure 5D). As can be inferred from Figure 5A–C, while both \( \eta_0 \) and the \( <G'> \) generally increase with actin fraction, the \( \phi_{A} = 0.5 \) composite breaks this trend for both quantities, similar to the non-Gaussianity and ergodicity breaking parameters.

## DISCUSSION

Our SPT and DDM data indicate anomalous subdiffusion in all composites with a marked dependence on the fraction of actin in the composite. Namely, particle transport generally slows and becomes more anomalous as the actin fraction increases, but the exact dependence of several metrics on \( \phi_{A} \) is complex, as shown in Figure 6 (see Table S1 for the values plotted in Figure 6). The change in particle transport as actin fraction increases is likely attributable to two competing factors: the decreasing mesh size and the decreasing network stiffness. Previous research has shown a sharp dependence of the subdiffusive exponent on the mesh size. However, while the mesh size decreases with increasing \( \phi_{A} \), the network also becomes flippier as actin filaments are \( \sim100\times \) less rigid than microtubules. Previous research has shown that stiffer obstacles hinder diffusion more than floppy, semiflexible ones.\(^{33}\)

Our finding that the subdiffusive scaling exponent, \( \alpha \), measured either with DDM or SPT, monotonically decreases with decreasing mesh size, \( \xi \), suggests that the subdiffusive transport of our tracer particles is dictated more by the mesh size than by the filament flexibility. However, the scaling of \( \alpha \) with mesh size \( \xi \) (specifically with the ratio of the bead radius \( a \) to \( \xi \) (Figure S10)) is weaker than previously reported,\(^{34}\) suggesting that the increasing filament flexibility may also be contributing to the observed transport. In a previous report on particle diffusion within actin networks,\(^{21,39}\) the scaling exponent \( \alpha \) decreased linearly with \( (a/\xi)^2 \), whereas we observe a decrease that is approximately linear with \( a/\xi \). The additional metrics for anomalous diffusion we evaluate add further nuance to this conclusion. All of these metrics, namely, \( \rho_{NG} \), \( \eta_0 \), and \( <G'> \), are nonmonotonic with actin fraction for composites between \( \phi_{A} = 0.25 \), which is all largest (indicating the most anomalous diffusion) with the 100% actin networks, and smallest with the 0% actin networks, we observe a small local minimum for \( \phi_{A} = 0.5 \). These indicators of anomalous diffusion suggest that properties of the network besides the mesh size, such as the

In particular, non-Gaussianity is often attributed to the viscoelasticity of the networks or heterogeneous transport dynamics as observed in other biological and complex environments.\(^{54-58}\)

To further characterize the non-Gaussianity of the particle dynamics, we calculate the non-Gaussian parameter \( \beta_{NG} (\Delta t) \) (Figure S4). We find that \( \beta_{NG} (\Delta t) \) for each network decays to a non-zero plateau, signifying that the diffusion we measure is indeed a non-Gaussian process. From this plateau, we compute an average value, \( <\beta_{NG}> \), shown in Figure 4C. We find that \( <\beta_{NG}> \) is greatest in the 100% actin network \( (\phi_{A} = 1) \) and least in the network comprised pure of microtubules \( (\phi_{A} = 0) \), consistent with our measured subdiffusive scaling exponent \( \alpha \). However, we do not observe the same monotonic trend with increasing actin fraction we observed in the case of \( \alpha \), which scaled roughly linearly with the mesh size \( \xi \) (Figure S10). Rather, \( <\beta_{NG}> \) decreases with \( \phi_{A} \) = 0.25 and 0.5 composites before increasing again between the \( \phi_{A} = 0.5 \) and 0.75 composites. These variations are all relatively small compared to the large jump in values between networks of \( \phi_{A} = 0.75 \) and 1. To further probe this intriguing, we also compute the ergodicity breaking parameter EB. Similarly to \( \rho_{NG} \), EB reaches a time-independent plateau from which we compute an average. As shown in Figure 4D, the trend of EB with \( \phi_{A} \) is quite similar to that for \( \rho_{NG} \).

The fact that both \( \rho_{NG} \) and EB display this nonmonotonic dependence on \( \phi_{A} \) (and, thus, on \( \xi \)), not apparent in \( \alpha \), suggests that these parameters may be sensitive to properties of the network comprised pure of microtubules \( (\phi_{A} = 0.5) \), as the mesh size is smaller than by the

![Figure 3. Both single-particle and ensemble transport properties become increasingly anomalous as the fraction of actin in cytoskeleton composites increases. (A) We plot the transport coefficient K versus the molar actin fraction \( \phi_{A} \) measured via SPT (blue squares) and DDM (red circles). Increasing \( \phi_{A} \) generally slows transport. Both methods show the largest jump in K between the networks comprised of 0 and 25% actin. (B) The subdiffusive scaling exponent \( \alpha \) decreases with \( \phi_{A} \). The steady increase in the degree to which transport is anomalous as the actin fraction increases is likely due to the decreasing mesh size of the composite.](image-url)
stiffness of the filaments, cannot be ignored. In our previous study on the nonlinear microrheology of similar actin−microtubule composites, we showed that 100% microtubule networks (φA = 0) exhibited larger resistance to large strains than 100% actin networks (φA = 1), due to the stiffness of the microtubules. However, this resistive force did not scale proportionally with the fraction of actin. Instead, the 50% actin composite exhibited a slightly higher force than the 30% (φA = 0.3) and 70% (φA = 0.7) actin composites. Only when the actin fraction dropped below 10% (φA = 0.1), there was a substantial increase in force. We rationalized this nonmonotonic dependence by considering that as the fraction of actin increased, the mesh size decreased while at the same time rigid filaments (microtubules) were replaced with more flexible ones (actin). Specifically, as φA increased, the resistive force initially sharply decreased as the composites became floppier. However, following this initial decrease, the force exhibited little dependence on φA, with a slight local maximum at φA = 0.5, as the increased floppiness of the network competed with the decreasing mesh size.

In previous microrheology experiments on actin networks, subdiffusion was attributed to particles becoming highly constrained or trapped by the network and occasionally hopping out of their cages. This type of behavior, where particles can be in or out of confining cages, has also been observed in other viscoelastic environments like mucus and agarose gels and can often be modeled by a noisy continuous time random walk. Continuous time random walks are
predicted to be non-Gaussian and nonergodic. Our non-Gaussian PDFs of particle displacements, along with our non-Gaussianity and ergodicity breaking parameters, indicate that similar behavior occurs in our composites. Namely, our PDFs show that both large displacements (hopping between cages) and small displacements (fluctuations within a cage) occur more frequently than a normal distribution predicts.

As described above, in agreement with recent simulations, our results suggest that both network mesh size and polymer stiffness appear to be influential in determining the observed anomalous subdiffusion, and the competition between these two physical properties leads to the complex dependence of several anomalous indicators on actin fraction (Figure 6). Importantly, the use of both SPT and DDM, as well as the analysis of multiple complementary anomalous transport metrics, is essential to draw these robust conclusions from our results. Further, with this approach, we can dismiss technique-dependent artifacts as the cause of correlations between the various metrics.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Figure 5. Composites exhibit pronounced viscoelastic properties, which vary with actin fraction. (A) Storage and (B) loss moduli, $G'(\omega)$ and $G''(\omega)$, are plotted versus frequency ($\omega$). (C) The complex viscosity $\eta^*(\omega)$ versus $\omega$ is plotted. (D) The zero-shear viscosity, $\eta_0$ (left axis) and the average storage modulus, $<G'(\omega)>$, (right axis) are plotted versus actin fraction. While increasing the fraction of actin within the composite generally serves to increase the viscoelasticity, there is relatively little change in $\eta_0$ and $<G'(\omega)>$ between the $\phi_A = 0.25$ and 0.5 composites.

Figure 6. Using multiple metrics to quantify anomalous subdiffusion showcases the role that both mesh size and polymer stiffness have on determining the degree of anomalous subdiffusion. With the same color scheme used in previous figures, we show how the various indicators of anomalous transport depend on the actin fraction of our composites. A greater distance from the center (in the direction of the arrows) signifies a greater degree of anomalous subdiffusion. Only for the scaling exponent $\alpha$, measured either using SPT or DDM, we do observe a steady trend of increasingly anomalous transport with increasing actin fraction (and, therefore, decreasing mesh size). While all other indicators show a greater degree of subdiffusion in networks of pure actin filaments than in networks of pure microtubules, their values do not increase monotonically between $\phi_A = 0.25$ and 0.75 despite the fact that the mesh size $\xi$ does. This result suggests that both the mesh size and the rigidity of the filaments comprising the network influence the transport properties.

The cytoskeleton is a complex composite network of protein filaments, including actin and microtubules, that plays a key role in the transport of particles and macromolecules through the cell. However, the effect that each comprising protein, as well as the interactions between them, has on transport through the cytoskeleton remains an important unanswered question. Here, we have coupled single-particle tracking with differential dynamic microscopy to elucidate the transport properties of microparticles through in vitro composites of actin and microtubules. This coupling allows us to map the transport properties from the level of single tracer particles to the ensemble scale over a large spatiotemporal range (Figure 1). Importantly, it also provides verification of the trends observed from each separate technique.

In single-component crowding environments ($\phi_A = 0$ and 1), the degree of subdiffusion correlates with the mesh size $\xi$...
no matter which indicator of subdiffusion we use. However, we observe that the scaling exponent decreases less dramatically with $n/\xi$ than has been reported for networks of pure actin.\cite{21,38} Furthermore, we observe nonmonotonic trends with $\varphi_A$ for our other indicators of anomalous transport, the non-Gaussianity and ergodicity breaking parameters, which scale with the viscoelasticity of the networks. Taken together, these findings suggest that for composite networks, the changing physical properties of the constituents and the resulting material properties also play important roles. Our prior research on the nonlinear microrheology of similar composite networks\cite{6} and recent simulation studies of transport within polymer networks\cite{33} suggests that this is due to the filament rigidity varying with the mesh size of our composites.

Our data further indicate that caging underlies the anomalous transport we observe, which arises from the viscoelastic nature of the composites and results in nonergodic, non-Gaussian transport. Our results have direct implications in the viscoelastic nature of the composites and results in nonergodic, anomalous transport we observe, which arises from the fluctuation in shape.\cite{J Cell Biol 1993, 120, 923–934}


