

$$\begin{array}{c}
\Theta \subseteq \Gamma \quad \Gamma \setminus \Theta \vdash (\vec{M}, \dots, \vec{N}, \vec{P}, \dots, \vec{Q} \mid) : (\vec{A}, \dots, \vec{B}, \vec{C}, \dots, \vec{D}) \\
\begin{array}{ccc}
f \in \mathcal{G}(\vec{A}, \vec{F}_{\geq 1}) & \cdots & g \in \mathcal{G}(\vec{B}, \vec{G}_{\geq 1}) \\
h \in \mathcal{G}(\vec{C}, ()) & \cdots & k \in \mathcal{G}(\vec{D}, ())
\end{array} \\
\sigma : (\vec{F}, \dots, \vec{G}, \Theta) \simeq \Delta \\
\sigma \text{ preserves the relative order of } F_1, \dots, G_1 \\
\sigma \text{ preserves the relative order of } \Theta \\
\hline
\frac{\vdash () : ()}{\Gamma \vdash \left(\sigma(\vec{f}(\vec{M}), \dots, \vec{g}(\vec{N}), \Theta) \mid h(\vec{P}), \dots, k(\vec{Q}) \right) : \Delta}
\end{array}$$

Note that no judgment with more than zero scalar terms can appear as a premise.

Type-checking algorithm and proof of unique derivations. Suppose given a putative judgment $\Gamma \vdash (\vec{R} \mid \vec{Z}) : \Delta$. Since scalars are never variables, we have $\vec{Z} = (h(\vec{P}), \dots, k(\vec{Q}))$ for uniquely determined h, \dots, k and \vec{P}, \dots, \vec{Q} . We must take Θ to be the list of all variables occurring as terms in \vec{R} , in the same order, and f, \dots, g to be the list of all generators appearing as the final applications on all other terms in \vec{R} , in the order determined by their first components. Now the permutation σ with the given properties is uniquely determined (if it exists), and there are no more choices to make.